REPORTER'S RECORD VOLUME 1 OF 1 VOLUME CAUSE NO. 13937CR

STATE OF TEXAS §	S IN	\mathtt{THE}	COUNTY	COURT
------------------	------	----------------	--------	-------

Ş

VS. § OF

S

RUBICEL SANCHEZ CASANGO § KINNEY COUNTY, TEXAS

REPORTER'S RECORD VOLUME 1 OF 1 VOLUME CAUSE NO. 13938CR

STATE OF TEXAS § IN THE COUNTY COURT

S

VS. § OF

Ş

OMAR GAMBOA SAAVEDRA § KINNEY COUNTY, TEXAS

SPECIAL HEARING

On the 21st day of January, 2023, the following proceedings came on to be heard in the above-entitled and numbered cause before the Honorable Todd Blomerth, Retired Senior District Judge presiding, held remotely via videoconference;

Proceedings reported by machine shorthand.

_	
1	<u>APPEARANCES</u>
2	
3	FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS: Mr. Anton "Tony" E. Hackebeil
4	SBOT NO. 08667150 (Via Videoconference) ASSISTANT KINNEY COUNTY ATTORNEY
5	Mr. Brent P. Smith (Via Videoconference) SBOT NO. 24080722
6	KINNEY COUNTY ATTORNEY Mr. Thomas R. Roberson (Via Videoconference)
7	SBOT NO. 24049476
8	ASSISTANT KINNEY COUNTY ATTORNEY 501 S. Ann Street
9	P.O. Box 365 Brackettville, Texas 78832
10	(830) 563-2240 thackebeil@co.kinney.tx.us
11	bsmith@co.kinney.tx.us Troberson@co.kinney.tx.us
12	and
13	Mr. Ballard C. Shapleigh (Via Videoconference) SBOT NO. 18113400
14	ASSISTANT KINNEY COUNTY ATTORNEY BORDER PROSECUTION UNIT
15	452ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE P.O. Box 156
16	El Paso, Texas 79942-0156 (325) 347-8400
17	bpusr@452da.net
18	FOR LUBBOCK PRIVATE DEFENDERS OFFICE: Ms. Amrutha N. Jindal (Via Videoconference)
19	SBOT NO. 24065809
20	LUBBOCK PRIVATE DEFENDERS OFFICE 1401 Crickets Avenue
21	Lubbock, Texas 79401 (512) 374-2700
22	ajindal@lpdo.org
23	Mr. Hector Ramos, Interpreter (Via Videoconference)
24	
25	

1	INDEX
2	<u>January 21, 2023</u> <u>PAGE</u>
3	Statements by Ms. Jindal
4	Court's statements 9
5	Statements by Mr. Hackebeil
6	Jury trial cancelled
7	Statements by Ms. Jindal 20
8	Statements by Mr. Hackebeil
9	Statements by Mr. Shapleigh 23
10	Reporter's Certificate 27
11	
12	EXHIBIT
13	STATE'S
14	NO. DESCRIPTION OFFERED
15	A 1/23/23 email from Jindal 23
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 (Not simulcast on YouTube.) 2 (Defendants not present.) 3 THE COURT: Good afternoon. My name is Todd Bromerth. Please unmute. We are missing one 4 5 person. Mr. Wolbert. He was the attorney of record. 6 Where is he? 7 Please unmute, Ms. Jindal. 8 MS. JINDAL: Good afternoon, Judge. do not know where Mr. Wolbert is. He -- I saw that his 9 email was cc'd on the email that the Court sent out 10 yesterday, the same email that all of us received. 11 12 have independently reached out to him as well this 13 morning. I have not heard back from him, so I don't 14 know if he did not receive the email. I'm -- I'm not sure where he is. 15 16 THE COURT: Okay. Here we have a 17 situation, and I'm trying to connect the dots. He is 18 the attorney of record. He is a private lawyer. has not filed a motion to withdraw. He has not filed a 19 motion for continuance. 2.0 Please can you connect the dots for me as to 21 2.2 how any rules that you may have about retaining people 23 to represent indigent trumps the State rules as it 24 relates to a trial setting where he is the attorney of record. I am -- I am confused. 25

MS. JINDAL: Sure. Let me try to explain, Judge. So when Governor Abbott started Operation Lone Star, the Texas Indigent Defense Commission went to the legislature for funding for the defense program, and the Supreme Court of Texas passed a border security order that lays out a variety of -- details about how the defense program operates including designating TIDC, the Indigence Defense Commission, and its designee to have certain kinds of responsibilities.

2.2

Pursuant to that Supreme Court order, TIDC issued its own order on appointment procedures which designates the Lubbock Private Defenders Office, which is my office, with the authority to do everything from appoint counsel to cases, to recruit attorneys, to join the panel and maintain a list of attorneys for the panel, and to remove attorneys from the -- from cases or from the panel for any reason.

The indigence -- the -- what is it called?

The IDP, Indigent Defense Plan for Operation Lone Star trumps any plans that are in place in any of the counties that we operate in according to these orders, and so it's pursuant to these orders that LPDO appoints counsel in cases instead of the Court.

We also are responsible for reviewing

1 vouchers and we pay vouchers instead of the Court 2 and then again --3 THE COURT: Okay. I understand that, and I respect that. I think, if I'm not mistaken, 4 Lubbock was the one that started the capital murder 5 6 defense. I don't know if it was you guys, but it was 7 your predecessor who --8 MS. JINDAL: Correct. THE COURT: -- when I was an 9 10 attorney -- I mean, Judge in Lockhart, took advantage of or made my commissioners take advantage of that 11 12 because they were, shall we say, unaware or chose to be 13 unaware of the excellent opportunity for good defense 14 work, and I -- so I'm familiar with Lubbock's efforts. 15 They go back several years in -- in the indigent world. 16 So I'm pretty sympathetic. What I'm still 17 not connecting the dots with -- and I'm still troubled 18 about even having this discussion without the attorney 19 of record being here now. I need to have him on here. I -- I'm -- I'm just confused. 20 21 He's not answering phone calls from the 2.2 court -- court personnel. He's not responding. He is 23 the attorney of record, and he announced ready subject 24 to the motion which was denied on Friday.

I'm fairly flexible in many areas on some --

25

I'm brand new with helping out down in Kinney County with the -- with Mr. Saavedra and Mr. Sanchez Casango, or whatever that is, the issues for Monday, but I'm just puzzled about how the matter where Kinney County has picked -- got a jury panel coming in on Monday.

2.2

The attorney of record -- and I respect what you're doing, but this Court has not granted a motion for continuance, nor has it been asked for, nor has there been a motion to withdraw. These are some pretty fundamental things the Texas Civil and Criminal Procedure require of me, and I'm sitting here scratching my head, ma'am.

MS. JINDAL: I -- I understand, Judge.

Let me address two things. The first with respect to
the motion to withdraw. I understand the Rules related
to that.

We've discussed this issue with the Texas
Indigent Defense Commission. This came to light after
Judge Shahan had indicated a desire for attorneys to
file withdrawal motions, and the response from the
Texas Indigent Defense Commission is that our defense
program, because we are set up like a managed
assigned-counsel program, it just operates differently
where we have authority to remove counsel from cases.

That being said --

THE COURT: Okay.

2.2

MS. JINDAL: That being said, let me just quickly provide some context as to my email requests as best as I can here.

Yesterday, as you know, the attorney of record, Mr. Wolbert, had a writ hearing before Judge Powell on these two cases that were also set for trial on Monday, and Judge Powell denied those writs.

I will tell you that it seemed very obvious that Mr. Wolbert was not prepared. He didn't have witnesses ready to testify, so the outcome I don't think was surprising to anyone since he was not ready for the writ, but more troubling than — than the denial, which all of us have experienced. When we're defense attorneys, you get motions denied, is that Mr. Hackebeil raised to the Court and — in — in both a written filing and it was discussed orally during the motion hearing or during the writ hearing, that the State had grave concerns related to Mr. Wolbert's representation.

So the two allegations made in the State's written filing was that Mr. Wolbert had not conveyed plea offers to these two individuals and that he had not reviewed discovery in these two individuals' cases.

Mr. Wolbert had to address those allegations

on the record with Judge Powell, and Judge Powell felt comfortable with Mr. Wolbert's representations and the hearing continued with -- with the claim denied.

2.2

Once that was brought to my attention, in my role as the Chief Defender of the Lubbock Private

Defenders Office and recognizing that our role is not only to assign, but also to monitor, ensure that quality representation is being provided, and after a discussion with Mr. Hackebeil, I felt it imperative in my duty to ensure that these two individuals were properly represented to have a limited meeting with them to discuss the quality of representation that they have been receiving and to ensure that quality meets our standards but more importantly meets ethical standards.

Based on that conversation, which I'm happy to share more details ex parte if the Court would like, but based on that conversation I was led to the conclusion that we have no choice but to remove Mr. Wolbert from these cases, and our office is also looking into whether he needs to be removed from the defense panel completely.

THE COURT: The other thing is is that you've asked him to be removed, but you've got -- according to Ms. Muñoz and Ms. Rojas, you have multiple

1 other cases with this gentleman. 2 I tell you what we're going to do right now before -- 'cause I'm -- quite frankly I'm a little 3 uncomfortable. We don't have this guy. He's been 4 noticed of this by multiple attempts, and he's not 5 6 here, and I feel uncomfortable about this. 7 I have a court reporter because I requested 8 one because of the -- shall we say the anomaly of this 9 matter. 10 I am not opposed to a continuance under the circumstances. I know that Mr. Shapleigh, a/k/a the 11 12 Kinney County Attorney will be probably harrumph, 13 harrumph, harrumph on that. I don't know. 14 What is your thought, Mr. Shapleigh? 15 MR. SHAPLEIGH: Judge, I don't know if 16 the Court knows this, but I'm out here in El Paso, and 17 a trial would be conducted by Mr. Smith and 18 Mr. Hackebeil in --19 (Simultaneous talking.) 20 MR. SHAPLEIGH: Pardon? 21 THE COURT: Okay. So you're 2.2 representing the office, though, are you not? 23 MR. SHAPLEIGH: I am, and -- well, and 24 so -- so are the other gentlemen here. I'd like the record to reflect that Mr. Hackebeil's here and 25

1	Mr. Smith is here as well as our
2	(Simultaneous talking.)
3	MR. SMITH: Yes, Your Honor. We're
4	both here.
5	THE COURT: Okay. I'm sorry.
6	(Simultaneous talking.)
7	MR. HACKEBEIL: You probably thought I
8	looked like a defense a defendant sitting here, but
9	that
10	(Simultaneous talking.)
11	THE COURT: No. You're no, no, no.
12	I'm sorry, but you're too old for most defendants in
13	most criminal cases, just like this old rascal is here.
14	We're we're too tired for this, and certainly we're
15	not dealing with the folks that most of the folks
16	there certainly are trying to find a better life on
17	on this side of the border that are involved in these
18	cases.
19	MR. HACKEBEIL: Would you
20	THE COURT: All right.
21	MR. HACKEBEIL: indulge me just a
22	minute to let me comment on why I'm uncomfortable with
23	this also? Would it be important I mean
24	THE COURT: Yes.
25	MR. HACKEBEIL: Let let me let me

just say this. First of all, the reason I am in the 1 2 appearance I'm in is that I'm --3 THE COURT: Don't worry about that. Just move on. 4 MR. HACKEBEIL: Okay. The reality of 5 6 this, and I'm -- you already mentioned that you are new 7 to the Kinney County goings on, and maybe -- although I 8 didn't hear you say it, maybe you're new to the OLS 9 operation, but what's most troubling to me about this, 10 and it is not necessarily specific to these cases, is that this practice of removing attorneys from cases 11 12 throughout the -- the last year that I've been employed here is unbelievable. 13 14 The -- it frequently happens, and then, as you might guess, what happens when the new attorney 15 16 appears in court, they ask for further delay of these 17 cases because we just got reassigned to this case. 18 So I'm -- I'm -- I'm curious and would like 19 to know if Ms. Jindal can respond to this at all, how 20 does the order trump the Code of Criminal Procedure and 21 the -- the -- the processes for actually withdrawing 2.2 and maybe even making an appearance by an additional 23 attornev? 24 It has never been discussed. Believe it or 25 not, you're the first Judge that has actually

questioned how that can happen, and I'm happy to say that we have a court reporter taking this down because this has been troubling to me for the last year.

2.2

THE COURT: Okay. What we're going to do right now, Ms. Jindal, you're going to get on the phone, and I'm going to get on the phone, and we're going to mute, and you're going to go get -- attempt to contact this lawyer. I want him on this conversation because I'm not comfortable with this whole situation, and I respect Ms. Jindal's role in this thing and the defense's role in this thing because it's absolutely necessary and critical for the fair representation of these guys.

But the bottom line is, this lawyer is not around, and I don't know where the heck he is, and he's the attorney of record, ma'am, and he's the attorney of record under the Code of Criminal Procedure, and I haven't heard a darn thing yet that tells me that your operating (audio distortion) trump what I've got to do, and that's not saying that I wouldn't grant a continuance in this case or a motion to withdraw, because here we are and these guys apparently have been in jail since around November or October, and normally -- I'm just guessing -- well, I'm not opining an opinion, but I'm guessing most of these cases are

handled out by a time-served matter on -- especially someone who has not made bond. That's just my impression on these things.

2.2

I'm happy to try to reach him again. I -- I do want to just make sure everyone understands. I think it's very important for -- for Your Honor to hear from Mr. Wolbert. I do want to make sure everyone understands that in light of the information provided by the County Attorney's office in court on Friday, I did conduct my own independent due diligence of this.

THE COURT: You can have all the independent investigations you want, ma'am. The bottom line is there's nothing that I see that you have done or not done that in any way approaches or addresses the standard rules for representation.

He is a private lawyer -- as I understand it, he's not hired -- he's not -- he is -- he's contracted with you, but this is contract law in some ways, and I'm just -- and -- and -- and believe me.

I'm not interested in dragging anybody to trial on Monday on this, but I'm absolutely befuddled by the process that's going on in Kinney County right now, and -- and what's being done here and failure of this lawyer to even show up at this hearing.

1	So what I'm going to do is I'm going to
2	mute, and there's going to be some attempts made to
3	contact this gentleman and get him on here, and
4	because I'm not going to let everybody go until we get
5	this matter resume resolved someway or another.
6	Mr. Shapleigh, I represented Mr I
7	represent Mr. Shapleigh's name looks familiar now that
8	you say you're from El Paso 'cause that's an old
9	El Paso family name as I recall. So are you from
10	there, sir?
11	MR. SHAPLEIGH: I'm sorry, sir? Yeah.
12	I'm from El Paso. We've been here for a long time.
13	THE COURT: Where do you yeah, I
14	know. Where did you go to high school?
15	MR. SHAPLEIGH: Coronado. Well
16	THE COURT: Well
17	MR. SHAPLEIGH: Well, I take that back.
18	I went there 7th, 8th, and 9th grade, but I was living
19	kind of right next to El Paso High, but they just built
20	Coronado, and then we moved to Mexico City and then to
21	Mexicali, and then eventually I made it home, so
22	THE COURT: Okay. Well, we had nothing
23	good to say about Coronado because I went to Eastwood.
24	So there you go.
25	MR. SHAPLEIGH: Okay. Troopers versus

1	thunderbirds. Troopers versus thunderbirds.
2	THE COURT: Yeah.
3	MR. SHAPLEIGH: But you know
4	THE COURT: All right.
5	MR. SHAPLEIGH: I've got family
6	members that go there now, Judge.
7	MR. HACKEBEIL: Judge, I am personally
8	familiar with the name of the lawyer in whose office
9	Mr. Wolbert works in Uvalde County, Texas. Would you
10	like me to reach out to that lawyer to
11	THE COURT: Yes. I want some people
12	reaching out and give me first of all, I want
13	I'll I'll ask we'll go off the record right now,
14	and I'm going to ask the court personnel to give me
15	Mr. Wolbert's phone number.
16	(Recess at 12:25 p.m. to 12:45 p.m.)
17	MR. SHAPLEIGH: Judge, may I just I
18	was alerted to the fact that you may be on "speaker
19	view," and in "speaker view" you won't be able to see
20	everybody that's here and so you might want to go to
21	the "gallery view."
22	THE COURT: Well, okay. I oh,
23	there's the County Judge. Okay.
24	Howdy, Judge.
25	Okay. I have I just picked I

attempted to make a phone call to the number that was provided by Judge Powell to the clerk's office. I also talked to Judge Powell about this. I think I have the authority under the circumstances to sua sponte cancel the jury trials for Monday. That's all I'm going to do. I'm not going to address anything else, and these matters will be rescheduled.

2.2

I think I have the right to do that, and I understand that Ms. -- Judge Powell had trouble getting a hold of this gentleman in December based upon what was provided to me, and I've just tried calling the number, and it went to voicemail.

So as of now, these matters are -- or jury trial -- the only thing that's going to happen today is this Court has the authority and is going to cancel the jury trial because the only two defendants on there are the two gentlemen that were represented by this -- this lawyer who's not here today, and I -- I've talked with Judge Powell and I think both of us understand, and I asked for a little wisdom on this on -- and I think I have the authority to do that. There's some confusion here, and so that's it.

Now, I'm not going to address Mr. -- is it Hackebeil? Did I pronounce that right?

MR. HACKEBEIL: Yes, you did.

THE COURT: Okay. With a name like

Bromerth, I spent half my time when I was assistant DA

in Houston either riding on an elevator and the other
half trying to pronounce my name for people.

2.2

I'm -- I'm an ex prosecutor. I'm an ex defense lawyer. I am board certified in criminal law and stuff, so I understand both sides, but I'll -- I'll just tell you that procedurally -- and we're off, 'cause I already cancelled the hearing.

Procedurally, Ms. Jindal knows and
Mr. Hackebeil knows, and, you know, harrumphing aside,
is while you can appoint -- you know, go ahead and have
somebody on the attorney -- from your group and he -somebody disappears, leaves, such as Texas Rural Legal
Aid where they have a bevy of lawyers that handle all
kinds of cases. I'm just using them because I'm
familiar with them -- is that, you know, while a new
lawyer may get on there, they may or may not get a
continuance because they're a rookie, you know, brand
new on the case, and that -- you know, that -- that's
just -- that's just some opining that this Judge is
saying.

And -- but that -- that's -- that's nothing before the Court today, so while I appreciate

Mr. Hackebeil's views for the prosecution point of

view, that's not before the Court today. That's just a comment on this Judge's view on how civil and criminal cases are handled when somebody with the same office steps into the case or it -- or not as the case may be, but that has nothing to do with it.

2.2

This -- all I'm saying today is we need to get a hold, madam clerk -- madam court -- madam clerk, notify jurors that they don't have to show up Monday.

I'm sure many of them will be dif -- it will be difficult.

I don't know if you have a call-in line or not. Most counties -- some counties do, some counties don't, but you'll have a bunch of people harrumph, harrumph, harrumphing on Monday, but that's -- that's just part of life.

All right. I only have -- I have a writ docket on Tuesday of next week, and we'll get on down the road.

In the meantime, Ms. Jindal, you need to get with the -- the other attorney involved in this thing, and -- do the fray, and we're going to set -- set these matters. I'm going to ask the court to -- I'm ordering that these matters be set on -- on a -- on a fairly short docket given the fact they're in jail. They're incarcerated, and these guys take precedence over

1 somebody on bond and their -- their rights need to be 2 looked at. I appreciate Ms. Jindal's concern. I'm not 3 going to opine one way or the other on that, but 4 5 nonetheless, these matters can be resolved, and the 6 defendants who have not -- again, I haven't gotten 7 anything in writing from any of these gentlemen that 8 they want their lawyer -- that they're objecting to their lawyer being released. 9 10 So you've got some -- some -- you've got some mountains to climb, but they don't need to be 11 12 climbed today before Monday. 13 MS. JINDAL: Judge? 14 THE COURT: Yes. 15 MS. JINDAL: If -- Judge, if I may, so 16 two things. First, we don't need to get into the 17 details of this, but I did want to alert Your Honor as 18 well as others on the call that I did put in the chat 19 the Supreme Court Order that relates to the provisions. 20 So -- we don't need to get into it today. I just 21 wanted to mention that it's there for anyone who wants 2.2 to reference it, but --23 THE COURT: I'm -- go ahead. 24 MS. JINDAL: -- the other thing, Your 25 Honor -- I did want to -- given the fact that

```
1
    Mr. Wolbert didn't show up today, given the fact
 2
     that the individuals have communicated to me their
 3
    desire to have new counsel represented, the fact that
    he didn't show, no one's gotten -- been able to get a
 4
    hold of him, and they've been in custody for three
 5
 6
    months now, I think it is necessary for me to appoint
 7
     them a new attorney who can advocate for their interest
 8
     in trying --
 9
                    THE COURT: Oh, I'm going to --
10
                    MS. JINDAL: -- to get these cases
11
    resolved.
12
                    THE COURT: I'll -- I'll let you deal
13
    with that --
14
                    MS. JINDAL: Okay.
15
                    THE COURT: -- at some other time,
16
     'cause I -- I just glanced at the hearing -- I mean,
17
    glanced at the Emergency Order based on the -- the
18
    border emergency that was done by our Governor, and
19
    whether or not -- and to what extent it trumps certain
20
    matters in the Code of Criminal Procedure, I haven't
21
    been able to absorb with my -- my brain doesn't read as
2.2
     fast as my eyes do.
23
               So that's not before us today. This is just
24
    my -- I'm cancelling jury trial for Monday. We're not
25
    going to go into anything else today. Okay?
```

And, Mr. Hackebeil, I'm going to give you 1 2 one more shot. MR. HACKEBEIL: Okay. Well, I'm -- I'm 3 not going to go into an argument on that issue, Judge, 4 but I -- I was wondering if the Court would entertain 5 6 actually setting this issue for a hearing so that we 7 can resolve it, because while Ms. Jindal believes the 8 Emergency Order says one thing, it is my opinion, and I 9 think my colleagues on the prosecution side share that, 10 that we don't think that it says what she thinks it says, and so it would be I think extremely helpful to 11 12 the operation altogether. 13 THE COURT: Okav. Hold on. You want 14 me to consider that or any of these judges to consider 15 that, file a motion, serve it on the appropriate -- and 16 either the Court will look at it by -- by -- and hear 17 it or not at the appropriate time, but that's not 18 before us now. 19 MR. HACKEBEIL: Yes, sir. 20 THE COURT: Judge, it's good seeing our 21 County Judge appear. I didn't get an order for --2.2 JUDGE SCHUSTER: Yes, sir. Thank you. 23 THE COURT: I didn't get an order in 24 order to hear your matters should they have -- before 25 I called the -- the Presiding Judge and got

1 authorization because apparently I was not authorized 2 to do that without, but I did reach out to our -- the 3 Presiding Judge and got that. He was very prompt at getting back and giving me an order to hear your 4 5 cases --6 JUDGE SCHUSTER: Yes, sir. 7 THE COURT: -- had they gone next week. 8 So that's good to know. You've got a great presiding judge in that region that is trying to help everybody 9 10 out, and I'm not sure I can think of a better -- a better man or a woman as a presiding judge, and I'm not 11 12 even in the region. So I'm not getting any brownie points for that. I just have a lot of respect for this 13 14 gentleman. 15 Okay. Nothing else but --16 MR. SHAPLEIGH: Judge? 17 THE COURT: Mr. Shapleigh? What? 18 MR. SHAPLEIGH: There's two things, I'd like to mark Ms. Jindal's email last night 19 that came in at 5:39 Mountain Time. I'd like to mark 20 21 it as State's Exhibit A and put that in the record and 2.2 send it to Ms. Greenwalt for the court -- for this 23 record in case there's speedy trial issues coming up. The second thing is, the -- the Emergency 24 25 Order that she posted in the chat, No. 21-9157, is the

1 The current order in effect is 22-9118, and 2 the old one is even on the TIDC website as well. the TIDC website is out of date as well. That's all I 3 want to say, Judge. 4 THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask you 5 6 something, Mr. Shapleigh. Is it basically the rehash 7 of -- of -- are they basically the same thing, 8 Ms. Jindal and Mr. Shapleigh? 9 MR. SHAPLEIGH: I think so. 10 MS. JINDAL: Yes. THE COURT: Okay, and listen. I'll --11 please make a note of that, Madam Court Reporter, that 12 Ms. Jindal has provided, and I have not had a chance to 13 14 really read it. Yeah. She's provided 22-9118, the 15 Renewed Emergency Order Regarding Indigent Defense and 16 Border Security. 17 Okay. Well, look. I've -- I've spent a lot 18 of time with some good lawyers and some incredibly kind 19 court personnel, and a patient -- and a patient County 20 Judge who's wondering where the buzz saw came from that 21 -- in these matters, and I appreciate all of your 2.2 attention. 23 I'll see a bunch of -- several of you next 24 week on some writ matters, but this is just the Court 25 sua sponte -- I'm cancelling the jury. We'll deal with

1 representation. We'll deal with all of these other 2 conundrums later on. I just heard enough today. I've talked with 3 Judge Powell, and I'm concerned enough with what's 4 5 going on and perhaps not. I don't know, that the --6 the jury trial for Monday is off. 7 And believe me. I enjoyed presiding over 8 jury trials so -- and I'd love to go to Brackettville. Fort Clark Springs has got so much history that -- I 9 know somebody -- I -- I'll -- I'll shut up, but I know 10 somebody who actually traveled in the '20s by horseback 11 12 from Fort Clark to -- to Fort Bliss. He's long dead now, that's the kind of geezer history I love. 13 14 there you go. 15 All right. Good bye. We'll see you all --16 I'll see you on Mon -- Tuesday, Ms. Muñoz, Madi, we'll 17 see you guys on Tuesday for some writ hearings. Please 18

I'll see you on Mon -- Tuesday, Ms. Muñoz, Madi, we'll see you guys on Tuesday for some writ hearings. Please check with -- I know there was some new filings with the Fourth Court of Appeals on writs. They were filed. I don't see any orders staying, but that could happen on Monday. So we just need to double-check to make sure, and as always it's a privilege talking with you folks and --

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. HACKEBEIL: Thank you.

THE COURT: -- and I -- and I look

```
1
     forward to shaking some hands. Ms. Jindal,
 2
     Mr. Shapleigh, Mr. Smith, Mr. Hackebeil, Judge, and
 3
     especially court personnel who -- I told them, Judge.
     They need to get -- be -- whatever you're paying them,
 4
     it's not enough, but you take that before the
 5
     Commissioners Court and I won't show up, and -- and
 6
 7
     I'll run for the hills. Bye-bye.
 8
                     (Hearing concluded at 12:56 p.m.)
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
2.1
22
23
24
25
```

1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 THE STATE OF TEXAS COUNTY OF KENDALL 3 I, Lisa C. Greenwalt, Certified Shorthand 4 5 Reporter in and for the State of Texas, do hereby 6 certify that the above and foregoing contains a true 7 and correct transcription of all portions of evidence 8 and other proceedings requested in writing by counsel for the parties to be included in this volume of the 9 10 Reporter's Record, in the above-styled and numbered cause, all of which occurred in chambers via 11 videoconference not simulcast on YouTube and were 12 13 reported by me. 14 I further certify that this Reporter's Record of 15 the proceedings truly and correctly reflects the 16 exhibits, if any, admitted by the respective parties. 17 I further certify that the total cost for the 18 preparation of this Reporter's Record is \$ 19 and was paid / will be paid by 2.0 WITNESS MY OFFICIAL HAND this the 29th day of 21 January, 2023. 2.2 /s/ Lisa C. Greenwalt Lisa C. Greenwalt, CSR 6067 23 Expiration Date: 04/30/24 Greenwalt Court Reporting 24 245 Waring-Welfare Road Boerne, Texas 78006-7926 (830) 537-4223 25



From: Amrutha Jindal <a indal@lpdo.org>

To: Kinney County Courts < kc.courts@co.kinney.tx.us>, Madison Rojas

<<u>m.rojas@co.kinney.tx.us</u>>, Brent Smith <<u>bsmith@co.kinney.tx.us</u>>, Assistant County

Attorney < thackebeil@co.kinney.tx.us>, Ballard C. Shapleigh < bpusr@452da.net>

Cc: Justin Wolbert < <u>justin@swtexaslaw.com</u>>

Sent: 1/20/2023 6:38 PM

Attachments: image001.png (image/png, 82 KB), image002.png (image/png, 429 B), image003.png

(image/png, 699 B), image004.png (image/png, 954 B)

Subject: RE: 1/23/23 In Person Jury Trial FINAL Docket

Good evening everyone -

Sorry to email you late on a Friday but I wanted to advise the Court that, based on information learned today, I believe it is necessary to remove Mr. Wolbert from the two cases that are set for trial on Monday. The same authority that gives LPDO the ability to appoint counsel in OLS cases also provides that we can remove counsel. I believe that there is good cause to terminate Mr. Wolbert's representation in these two cases due to concerns related to conflicts of interest and/or ineffective assistance of counsel. I have alerted Mr. Wolbert as to my position.

Mr. Hackebeil and I spoke at length this afternoon and I believe he has relayed the situation to others within the County Attorney's Office. But I did want to make sure that the Court was also aware. I am sorry that this change in circumstances is being conveyed to you so close to trial – as stated above, this was only brough to my attention today and I've acted as quickly as possible to look into and address the issue.

I will be present in Court on Monday to address this with Judge Blomerth. I unfortunately do not have his email address – Ms. Munoz and Ms. Rojas – if you could forward this email to him that would be greatly appreciated. I hope that all of you have a good weekend. See you on Monday.

Best, Amrutha



Amrutha N. Jindal (she/her) Chief Defender, Operation Lone Star

1401 Crickets Ave. Lubbock, TX 79401

www.OLSdefense.org

This email and any files transmitted with it may be privileged or confidential. It is intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately and delete the email. If you are not the intended recipient, you are notified that disclosing, copying, distributing or taking any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited.

From: Kinney County Courts < kc.courts@co.kinney.tx.us>

Sent: Friday, January 20, 2023 11:14 AM

To: Neighborhood Defender Services <olsappointments@ndstexas.org>; Addy Miro <addy@mirolawfirm.com>; Amrutha Jindal <ajindal@lpdo.org>; Andrea Casares <olscasareslaw@gmail.com>; Angelica Cogliano <angelica@coglianolaw.com>; Armando Roman <aroman@ajr-law.com>; Aurora Zamora <aurora_zamora@aol.com>; Brandon Bibby <bbby@bibbylawoffice.com>; Brian Ehrenberg

<behrenberg@veattorneys.com>; Cassandra G. <glawgroupla@gmail.com>; Cesar Escamilla <escamillalaw@gmail.com>; Christopher.Castro13@outlook.com; cortezabogado@gmail.com; dixonlegal@thedlfirm.com; donald bowman <esquirey2k@gmail.com>; dyan Parada <dyanparada1@yahoo.com>; Harold@danfordlaw.com; Hector Garza <hector@garzalawgroup.com>; Jad P. Harper <jadharper@sbcglobal.net>; Janet Perrodin < janetp@perrodinlaw.com>; Jessica Salem < jsalemlaw@gmail.com>; jet07e@gmail.com; John Bray <john@jmblawfirm.com>; jsmuhammad.esq@gmail.com; Julie Pennington <julie@penningtondefense.com>; Justin Wolbert <justin@swtexaslaw.com>; jwinfrey@trla.org; Kathy Starling <starling.law.ols@gmail.com>; Kelly Crowson <kellycrowson@gmail.com>; Mary Pietrazek <pzklaw@gmail.com>; mbosley@ndstexas.org; michael@defendingtarrant.com; mlbjr@bernoudylawfirm.com; nancy knox-bierman libralawtexas@gmail.com>; nancy knox-bierman <nknoxbierman@yahoo.com>; ols@trla.org; rking2010@gmail.com; talcaraz@trla.org; Thomas Hillie <tfhille@gmail.com>; Valelawfirm@gmail.com; walter@waltersdean.com; William Troy <troylawoffice@gmail.com>; Neighborhood Defender Services <olsappointments@ndstexas.org>; Katherine Shamsie <kshamsie@ndstexas.org>; Karen Sova <ksova@ndstexas.org>; Victoria Chuffo <vchuffo@ndstexas.org>; Guillermo Urbina <gurbina@ndstexas.org>; fcastillo@ndstexas.org; Leland McRae <lmcrae@ndstexas.org>; Kristin Etter <ketter@trla.org>; Rachel Garza(AUS) <RachelGarza@trla.org>; Camilla Morrison Block <cmblock@trla.org>; Miriam Cruz <mcruz@trla.org>; Billy Pavord (BRO) <BPavord@trla.org>; Valerie Torres(MER) <VTorres@trla.org>; Nicole Maesse (BPD) < NMaesse@trla.org>

Cc: Assistant County Attorney <thackebeil@co.kinney.tx.us>; Brent Smith <bsmith@co.kinney.tx.us>; Kristina Balderas <k.balderas@co.kinney.tx.us>; Nicole Mata <n.mata@co.kinney.tx.us>; Tom Roberson <t.roberson@co.kinney.tx.us>; Vanessa Villarreal <v.villarreal@co.kinney.tx.us>; Vicky Cavazos Jones

Subject: 1/23/23 In Person Jury Trial FINAL Docket

Importance: High

Good Morning,

Attached to this email is the final draft of the docket for 1/23/23 In Person Jury Trial. The jury trial will be held at the Kinney County Courthouse at 9AM.

Thank You,

Kimberly Munoz
Court Coordinator

Email: kc.courts@co.kinney.tx.us