Troutman Pepper Locke LLP 600 Travis St., Suite 2800 Houston, TX 77002 E-filed in the Office of the Clerk for the Business Court of Texas 8/1/2025 1:38 PM Accepted by: Alexis Jennings Case Number: 25-BC03A-0007



troutman.com

David E. Harrell
D 713.226.1138
F 713.229.2633
david.harrell@troutman.com

August 1, 2025

Via ECF: The Honorable Melissa Andrews

Texas Business Court, Third Division

William P. Clements Building 300 West 15th Street, Suite 606

Austin, Texas 78701

RE: Jerry B. Reed v. Rook TX LP, et al., NO. 25-BC03A-0007 (Third Division), Defendants Rook TX LP and Rook GP LLC's Response to Plaintiff's Discovery Letter.

Dear Judge Andrews:

Rook TX LP and Rook GP LLC ("<u>Defendants</u>") have pending motions set for hearing on August 18. Plaintiff served discovery while those motions are pending, and Defendants timely responded by identifying their objections with specificity and stating they would comply with non-objectionable requests. TEX. R. CIV. P. 193.2(b).

However, Defendants object to producing information or documents that:

- (1) Infringe on the rights of individual owners of a beneficial interest in Defendants to remain anonymous under Texas Government Code Section 466.411, or
- (2) Pre-date the formation of Defendants because (i) the information would not exist in the possession, custody, or control of Defendants and (ii) is inconsistent with Plaintiff's pleading in this case, which shapes the scope of discovery.

I. "UNRESOLVED" REQUESTS

Notably, Plaintiff's Summary of Discovery Dispute is limited to specific requests for production.¹ Defendants responded to or agreed to produce responsive documents, to the extent they exist, for many of Plaintiff's "unresolved" requests.²

However, Defendants objected to the following requests:

¹ Plaintiff-Letter at 2.

² See Requests Nos. 1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 14, 18.



Request Number	Issue	
2	Seeks Defendants' Beneficial Ownership Information filings, which not mandatory for Defendants.	
3	Regards the ticket purchases.	
6	Asks who gave the winning tickets to Defendants. While objectionable, Defendants provided responsive information in Interrogatory No. 11.	
9, 13	Asks about Defendants' distribution of winnings.	
15	Seeks Defendants' tax returns. Defendants identified specific objections to this request in addition to the issue of statutory anonymity.	

A. Plaintiff cannot discover information protected from disclosure by the Lottery Act.

Plaintiff requests "records identifying persons" who are Defendants' limited partners and members, and who received lottery winnings. The Lottery Act allows winners to remain anonymous by creating an entity to present the winning ticket. Under the Act, a natural person "who is an owner of a beneficial interest in a legal entity that is a prize winner ... may [] choose to remain anonymous and prohibit all personally identifiable information from being released to the public." Rook TX LP submitted the Lottery Claim Form.

Plaintiff sues to enforce the Lottery Act, which also creates the statutory right to anonymity. Plaintiff cannot both embrace that act while rejecting the protections it affords. The fact that subject matter jurisdiction rests on formation documents does not matter either. Plaintiff has the relevant documents his negligence claim is based on, and Defendants agreed to produce a redacted version of Rook TX LP's partnership agreement.

Finally, Plaintiff's compromise of redacting public filings is no solution because this information is expressly protected by statute—not even Plaintiff is entitled to it. Plaintiff cannot make an endrun around the statute just because he sued the entity who received payment.

-

³ Defendants identified Rook GP LLC's members.

⁴ TEX. GOV'T CODE § 466.411(a).

⁵ Third. Am. Pet. ¶ 63.



B. Information pre-dating Defendants' formation is not discoverable and does not belong to them.

Defendants object to discovery regarding events before their formation. Plaintiff claims Rook GP LLC was formed June 14, 2023 and Rook TX LP was formed June 15, 2023.⁶ However, Plaintiff propounded numerous requests about the April 2023 ticket purchases.

Plaintiff cannot have it both ways. Plaintiff's case rests on the claim that Defendants did not exist when the tickets were purchased in April 2023—the sole basis for his negligence claim against Defendants. The remaining negligence claims involving ticket purchases specifically exclude the Rook Defendants. Plaintiff cannot ignore his own judicial admissions and ask for documents that, under Plaintiff's theory, cannot belong to the Rook Defendants. Plaintiff's attempt to obtain information about other individuals involved in the ticket purchases is an improper fishing expedition.

Respectfully submitted,
TROUTMAN PEPPER LOCKE LLP

By: /s/ David E. Harrell, Jr.

David E. Harrell, Jr. Texas Bar No. 00793905 Mia Lorick

Texas Bar No. 24091415

Kathleen C. Laird

Texas Bar No. 24132063

Bradden Pippin

Texas Bar No. 24143893

Troutman Pepper Locke LLP

600 Travis, Suite 2800

Houston, Texas 77002-3095

Telephone: (713) 226-1320

Facsimile: (713) 229-2510

David.Harrell@Troutman.com

Mia.Lorick@Troutman.com

Kathleen.Laird@Troutman.com

Bradden.Pippin@Troutman.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS ROOK TX LP AND ROOK GP LLC

_

⁶ Third Am. Pet. ¶ 62.



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing instrument on opposing counsel on August 1, 2025 as follows:

By electronic service

/s/ David E. Harrell, Jr.
David E. Harrell, Jr.

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I hereby certify that this document complies with Local Rule 5(a) and contains 648 words, excluding the case caption, any index, table of contents or table of authorities, signature blocks, attached evidence, or any required certificates.

/s/ David E. Harrell, Jr.
David E. Harrell, Jr.

Automated Certificate of eService

This automated certificate of service was created by the efiling system. The filer served this document via email generated by the efiling system on the date and to the persons listed below. The rules governing certificates of service have not changed. Filers must still provide a certificate of service that complies with all applicable rules.

Envelope ID: 103878520

Filing Code Description: No Fee Documents

Filing Description: Defendants' Response to Plaintiff's Summary of

Discovery Dispute

Status as of 8/1/2025 1:47 PM CST

Case Contacts

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Jon MSmith		jon@jonmichaelsmith.com	8/1/2025 1:38:41 PM	SENT
David Harrell		david.harrell@troutman.com	8/1/2025 1:38:41 PM	SENT
Charles Conrad		charles.conrad@pillsburylaw.com	8/1/2025 1:38:41 PM	SENT
Mia Lorick		mia.lorick@troutman.com	8/1/2025 1:38:41 PM	SENT
Kathleen CurtisLaird		kathleen.laird@troutman.com	8/1/2025 1:38:41 PM	SENT
Derrick Carson		Derrick.carson@pillsburylaw.com	8/1/2025 1:38:41 PM	SENT
Bradden Pippin		bradden.pippin@troutman.com	8/1/2025 1:38:41 PM	SENT
Richard LaGarde		richard@lagardelaw.com	8/1/2025 1:38:41 PM	SENT
Manfred Sternberg		manfred@msternberg.com	8/1/2025 1:38:41 PM	SENT
Mary LaGarde		mary@lagardelaw.com	8/1/2025 1:38:41 PM	SENT
Jeff Adams		jeff@jeffadamslaw.com	8/1/2025 1:38:41 PM	SENT
Business Court Division 3A		bcdivision3a@txcourts.gov	8/1/2025 1:38:41 PM	SENT
Jeff Adams		jeff@jeffadamslaw.com	8/1/2025 1:38:41 PM	SENT
Lindsey Mitchell		lindsey.mitchell@pillsburylaw.com	8/1/2025 1:38:41 PM	SENT
Alexandra Sherwood		assistant@jonmichaelsmith.com	8/1/2025 1:38:41 PM	SENT
Jon MichaelSmith		jon@jonmichaelsmith.com	8/1/2025 1:38:41 PM	SENT
Grace Miller		gmiller@sheppardmullin.com	8/1/2025 1:38:41 PM	SENT
Aimee Oleson		aoleson@sheppardmullin.com	8/1/2025 1:38:41 PM	SENT
Wendy McCormick		wmccormick@sheppardmullin.com	8/1/2025 1:38:41 PM	SENT
Jessica Herschap		jherschap@sheppardmullin.com	8/1/2025 1:38:41 PM	SENT
Samantha Guajardo		samantha.guajardo@pillsburylaw.com	8/1/2025 1:38:41 PM	SENT
Mary LaGarde		mary@lagardelaw.com	8/1/2025 1:38:41 PM	SENT

Automated Certificate of eService

This automated certificate of service was created by the efiling system. The filer served this document via email generated by the efiling system on the date and to the persons listed below. The rules governing certificates of service have not changed. Filers must still provide a certificate of service that complies with all applicable rules.

Envelope ID: 103878520

Filing Code Description: No Fee Documents

Filing Description: Defendants' Response to Plaintiff's Summary of

Discovery Dispute

Status as of 8/1/2025 1:47 PM CST

Case Contacts

Mary LaGarde	1	mary@lagardelaw.com	8/1/2025 1:38:41 PM	SENT
Ryan Dickinson	ı	ryandickinson79@gmail.com	8/1/2025 1:38:41 PM	SENT
Matthew Clemensen	1	matt.clemenson@gmail.com	8/1/2025 1:38:41 PM	SENT